

MPL's Derivative Operator

Let u be an [algebraic expression](#) and let x be a symbol. MPL's operator $Derivative(u, x)$, which evaluates the derivative of u with respect to x , is defined by the following transformation rules:

DERIV-1. If $u = x$, then $Derivative(u, x) \rightarrow 1$.

DERIV-2. If $u = v^w$, then

$$Derivative(u, x) \rightarrow w * v^{w-1} * Derivative(v, x) + Derivative(w, x) * v^w * \ln(v).$$

This rule applies to expressions that are powers and accounts for expressions where either v or w may depend on x . (The rule is derived using logarithmic differentiation.) Since the $Derivative$ operator appears on the right side of the rule, **DERIV-2** is recursive. When w is free of x , the rule reduces (with [automatic simplification](#)) to the familiar power rule

$$\frac{d(v^w)}{dx} = w \cdot v^{w-1} \frac{d(v)}{dx}.$$

DERIV-3. Suppose u is a sum and let $v = \text{Operand}(u, 1)$ and $w = u - v$. Then

$$Derivative(u, x) \rightarrow Derivative(v, x) + Derivative(w, x).$$

DERIV-4. Suppose u is a product and let $v = \text{Operand}(u, 1)$ and $w = u/v$. Then

$$Derivative(u, x) \rightarrow Derivative(v, x) * w + v * Derivative(w, x).$$

Rules **DERIV-3** and **DERIV-4** are the sum and product differentiation rules. Again, the rules are recursive because the right side of each rule refers to the $Derivative$ operator. Notice that we obtain the derivative of a sum by differentiating both the first operand and the remaining part of the sum, which is obtained by subtracting the first operand from u with [automatic simplification](#). A similar approach is used for a product.

A typical rule for a known function looks like the following:

DERIV-5. If $u = \sin(v)$, then $Derivative(u, x) \rightarrow \cos(v) * Derivative(v, x)$.

Again, the chain rule implies the rule is recursive.

DERIV-6. If $Free_of(u, x) = \mathbf{true}$, then $Derivative(u, x) \rightarrow 0$.

This rule applies to integers, fractions, symbols, and compound expressions (such as $f(a)$ or $n!$) that are free of the differentiation variable x . Notice that powers, sums, and products are not checked by this rule because they are handled by one of the earlier rules **DERIV-2**, **DERIV-3**, or **DERIV-4**. For example, if b and e are symbols ($\neq x$), then

$$Derivative(b^e, x) \rightarrow 0$$

is obtained by first applying **DERIV-2**, which applies **DERIV-6** (recursively) to both b and e .

We have placed **DERIV-6** at this point in the rule sequence to avoid redundant calls on the *Free_of* operator. The reason for this has to do with the recursive nature of *Free_of*. If **DERIV-6** were at the beginning of the rule sequence, then to compute the derivative (with respect to x) of

$$u = (1 + a)^2 + x^2,$$

the algorithm would first check if u were free of x , which involves the comparison of each complete sub-expression of u to x until the symbol x is found. Since this step would return **false**, we would next apply the sum rule which obtains the derivative in terms of the derivatives of the two operands $(1 + a)^2$ and x^2 . To find the derivative of $(1 + a)^2$, we would check (for the second time) if this expression were free of x . By placing the *Free_of* operation later in the rule sequence, we avoid this redundant calculation.

The final transformation rule applies to any expression that is not covered by the earlier rules:

DERIV-7. $Derivative(u, x) \rightarrow "Derivative"(u, x)$.

In other words, if none of the earlier rules apply to u , the expression is returned in the unevaluated form $Derivative(u, x)$. The *Derivative* operator on the right is quoted to prevent a recursive evaluation of the operator because, without the quotes, the transformation leads to an infinite sequence of recursions. By including this rule, we obtain a representation for the derivative of expressions that include undefined functions such as

$$Derivative(f(x) * g(x), x) \rightarrow Derivative(f(x), x) * g(x) + f(x) * Derivative(g(x), x),$$

where the derivatives of $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ remain in unevaluated form.

Notice that the differentiation quotient rule is not included in our rule sequence because we assume that [automatic simplification](#) transforms quotients to products or powers.

The **DERIV** rules are an example of a transformation rule sequence. When describing an algorithm in this way, we assume that a rule is checked only when all earlier rules do not apply. This approach simplifies the presentation because conditions that are handled by earlier rules need not be repeated (in a negative sense) in a later rule.

Most computer algebra systems have an operator that is similar to MPL's *Derivative* operator:

MPL	Maple	Mathematica	MuPAD
$Derivative(u, x)$	<code>diff(u, x)</code>	<code>D[u, x]</code>	<code>diff(u, x)</code>

(Implementation: [Maple](#) (mws), [Mathematica](#) (nb), [MuPAD](#) (mnb).)

[Return to Chapter 1, page 14](#)