A PROJECT REPORT

ON

"DESIGN & ANALYSIS OF BEM TYPE HEAT EXCHANGER"

Submitted by

In partial fulfillment for the award of the Degree

Of

BACHELOR OF ENGINEERINGIN MECHANICAL ENGINEERING UNDER THE GUIDANCE

Of

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING ANJUMAN-I-ISLAM KALSEKAR TECHNICAL CAMPUS NEW PANVEL, NAVI

MUMBAI – 410206

UNIVERSITY OF MUMBAI

ACADEMIC YEAR 2020 -2021

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the project entitled "**DESIGN AND ANALYSIS OF BEM TYPE HEAT EXCHANGER"** being submitted by Project Group 24 is worthy of consideration for the award of the degree of "Bachelors in Mechanical Engineering" and is a record of original Bonafede carried out under our guidance and supervision. The results contained in this respect have not been submitted in part or full to any other university or institute for the award degree certificate.

Shaikh Raihan Noor Mohd (18DME48)

Shaikh Shoaib Ayub (18DME49)

Memon Abdul Gani Irfan (18DME25)

Quraishi Md. Rehan Md. Riyaz (18DME35)

Prof. Rahul Thavai

(Project Guide) (External Examiner)

 $-$ INDIA

C. V. Satam (Industry Guide)

Prof. Zakir Ansari Dr. Abdul Razzak Honnutagi (HOD Mechanical Dept) (Director AIKTC)

NAVI MUMB

DECLARATION

I declare that this project report entitled "**DESIGN AND ANALYSIS OF BEM TYPE HEAT EXCHANGER"** represents my ideas in my own words and where others' ideas or words have been included, I have adequately cited and referenced the original sources. I also declare that I have adhered to all principles of academic honesty and integrity and have not misrepresented or fabricated or falsified any data/fact in my submission. I understand that any violation of the above will be cause for disciplinary action by the Institute and can also evoke penal action from the sources which have thus not been properly cited or from whom proper permission has not been taken whenneeded.

Shaikh Raihan Noor Mohd (18DME48) Shaikh Shoaib Ayub (18DME49) Memon Abdul Gani Irfan (18DME25) Quraishi Md. Rehan Md. Riyaz (18DME35)

Date: Place: New Panvel NAVI MUMBAI - INDIA

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I consider myself lucky to work under guidance of such talented and experienced people who guided me allthrough the completion of my dissertation.

I express my deep sense of gratitude to myguide**Prof. RAHUL THAVAI**,Lecturer of Mechanical Engineering Department, and **Mr. C.V.SATAM** (Director, Elgin Process Equipment and Design, Rabale),for his generous assistance, vast knowledge, experience, views& suggestions and for giving me their gracious support. I owe a lot to them for this invaluable guidance in spite of their busy schedule.

I am grateful to **DR. ABDUL RAKKAK HONNUTAGI**, Director for his support and co-operation and for allowing me to pursue my Diploma Programme besides permitting me to use the laboratory infrastructure of the Institute.

I am thankful to my H.O.D **Prof. ZAKIR ANSARI**for his support at various stages.

Last but not the least my thanks also go to other staff members of Mechanical Engineering Department, Anjuman-I-Islam's Kalsekar Technical Campus, Panvel, library staff for their assistance useful views and tips.

I also take this opportunity to thank my Friends for their support and encouragement at every stage of my life.

MAVI MUMBAI - INDIA

Date:

ABSTRACT

The oil and gas field deals with various processes such as refining, chemical mixings and blending, liquefaction, purification, storage of fluids and chemicals under stipulated pressure and temperature requires boilers, tubes and pipes, heat exchanger pressure vessels, etc. These have been a very important part of technical and technological systems such as chemical and reactive processes in Oil and gas field. This project work deals with a detailed DESIGN AND ANALYSIS OF BEM TYPE HEAT EXCHANGER taken as a problem definition from client Al Hammra – U.A.E. A detailed design of various parts of vessels like shell, closure, 55 support, flanges, nozzles etc. Design is carried according to rules of ASME code section VIII; Division I. The ASME is an American Society of Mechanical Engineers that regulates the design and construction of boilers and pressure vessels. The BPVC is a standard that provides rules for the design, fabrication and inspection of boilers and pressure vessels. Code provides rules that permit the use of materials and alternative methods of construction that are not covered by existing BPVC rules. The analytical design as per client Al Hammra – U.A.E design data and general notes have been analyzed and validated using Software tools such as PV-elite, Compress or ANSYS, and detailed modelling using Auto-CAD tool. It also deals with the study of various parts like flanges, support etc. Various methods of fabrication and testing such as LPT, RT, and Hydro Test are also included.

TABLE OF CONTENT

- 4.2 Hydraulic Press
4.3 Gas Tungsten Ar
- Gas Tungsten Arc Welding

6

5. Inspection 91 - 95 5.1 Radiography Test 5.1.1. Dye Penetrare Testing 5.2 Hydrostatic Test **6. Result 96 - 97** 6.1 Result
6.2 Conclu Conclusion **7. Cost Estimation 98 - 99 Cost Estimation 8. References 100 - 101** 8.1 References 8.1.1. Books 8.1.2. Links IR@AIKTC-KRRC

NAVI MUMBAI - INDIA

LIST OF FIGURES

MAVI MUMBAI - INDIA

LIST OF TABLES

ABBREVIATION AND NOTATION

CHAPTER 01 INTRODUCTION

MAVI MUMBAI - INDIA

1.1 INTRODUCTION OF ELGIN PROCESS EQUIPMENT PVT. LTD, RABALE: IR@AIKTC-KRRC

NOCESS EQUIPMENT PVT.LTI

ELGIN PROCESS EQUIPMENT PVT. LTD, RABALE are manufacturer and Supplier of plant/systems and Equipment's. C.V. Satam is Mechanical Engineer. He started his career with Indo Berlin Industries who supplied the major plants to HOC Ltd. around 1970. He subsequently worked for manufacturers like G.R. Engineering & Lloyds Steel Industries Ltd. He has also worked with well-known consultants like Tata Consulting Engineering and Simon Carve India Ltd.

Mr. Satam has diversified experience in the equipment industry. He has worked on Chemical, Petrochemical, Fertilizers, Nuclear Power, Thermal Power, Pharmaceuticals and Polyester Fiber Industry. He has been involved with marketing and sales, mechanical design, process design, estimation, purchase planning, production planning, production & quality control plant maintenance and ISO-9000 documentation. He promoted Process Equipment Engineering and Elgin Process Equipment Pvt. Ltd, which supplies:

- INDIA

- 1. Air Drying Plants.
- 2. Liquid Drying Plants.
- 3. Low Pressure Dehumidifie
- 4. Liquid Benzene Dryer (with Udhe and UOP for Nirma ltd.)

NAVI MUMBA

He also designed and engineered India's and Asia's first Benzene Vapor Recovery System in 2002, which won an international award. He supplied off gas dryer to ONGC through Duke- offshore and Burn Std. Co. In 2005 along with IIT and Clique Development Consultant was instrumental in designing equipment for India's largest Solar Water Heating System to Mahananda Dairy at Latur Road.

He was felicitated by Thane Belapur Industries Association for his contribution to installing large common effluent treatment plant of Navi Mumbai.

Mr. Satam has also traveled abroad to receive management training.

MEMBERSHIPS:

- 1. PPMAI
- 2. Institution of Engineers
- 3. Indian Welding Society

Pressure vessels are vessels operating uncle an external or internal pressure exceeding 1.03 Kg/cm7g. Elgin has manufactured several pressure vessels for respected customers like FMC Corp., UB petroproducts,

Reliance Group, etc for the past 20 years.

We have performed under inspection by reputed international agencies like Bureau Veritas, RINA, TUV, DNV and almost all national agencies including EIL.

DESIGN/MANUFACTURING CODE

- Elgin products conform to the following codes:
	- 1. ASME Sec VIII Div 12.
	- 2. COADAP
	- 3. AD Merkblatter
	- 4. EN 13445

We can provide design verification on software's like PV Elite, COMPRESS, etc.

1.2 INTRODUCTION TO HEAT EXCHANGER:

Fig. 01 Heat Exchanger

Heat Exchangers are devices used to enhance or facilitate the flow of heat. Every living thing is equipped in some way or another with heat exchangers. They are widely used in space heating, refrigeration, air conditioning, power plants, chemical plants, petrochemical plants, petroleum refineries, natural gas processing, and sewage treatment. The design of STHE including thermodynamic and fluid dynamic design, cost estimation and optimization, represents a complex process containing an integrated whole of design rules and empirical knowledge of various fields. The design of STHE involves a large number of geometric and operating variables as a part of the search for heat exchanger geometry that meets the heat duty requirement and a given set of design constrains. A STHE is the most common type of heat exchanger in oil refineries and other large chemical processes, and is suited for higher-pressure applications. As its name implies, this type of heat exchanger consists of a shell (a large vessel) with a bundle of tubes inside it. One fluid runs through the tubes and the second runs over the tubes (through the shell) to transfer heat between the two fluids. A set of tubes is called a tube bundle which may be composed by several types of tubes e.g. plain, longitudinally finned, etc. Shell and tube heat exchanger are extensively throughout the process industry and as such a basic understanding of their design, construction and performance. Transfer of heat from one fluid to another is an important operation for most of the chemical industries. The most common application of heat transfer is in designing of heat transfer equipment for exchanging heat from one fluid to another fluid. Such devices for efficient transfer of heat are generally called Heat Exchanger.

1.2.1. Classification of Heat Exchanger:

Heat exchangers are normally classified depending on the transfer process occurring in them. General type of heat exchange is shown in below fig.

Fig. 02 Classification of Heat Exchanger

Amongst of all type of exchangers, shell and tube exchangers are most commonly used heat exchange equipment.

The common types of shell and tube exchangers are:

- i. **Fixed tube-sheet exchanger (non-removable tube bundle):** The simplest and cheapest type of shell andtube exchanger is with fixed tube sheet design. In this type of exchangers the tube sheet is welded to the shell and no relative movement between the shell and tube bundle is possible.
- ii. **Removable tube bundle:** Tube bundle may be removed for ease of cleaning and replacement. Removabletube bundle exchangers further can be categorized in floating head and U-tube exchanger.

- iii. **Floating-head exchanger:** It consists of a stationery tube sheet which is clamped with the shell flange. At the opposite end of the bundle, the tubes may expand into a freely riding floating-head or floating tube sheet. A floating head cover is bolted to the tube sheet and the entire bundle can be removed for cleaningand inspection of the interior.
- iv. **U-tube exchanger:** This type of exchangers consists of tubes which are bent in the form of a U and rolledback into the tube sheet This means that it will omit some tubes at the centre of the tube bundle depending on the tube arrangement. The tubes can expand freely towards the 'U' bend end. The different operationaland constructional advantages and limitations depending on applications of shell and tube exchangers are summarized in Table above IS: 4503-1967 (India) standards provide the guidelines for the mechanical design of unfired shell and tube heat exchangers.

Fig. 03 Types of Heat exchanger

1.2.2. TYPES:

1. BEM, AEM, NEN:

Advantages:

- Provides maximum heat transfer area for a given shell and tube diameter.
- Provides for single and multiple tube passes to assure proper velocity.
- Less costly than removable bundle designs.

Limitation:

- Shell side / outside of the tubes are inaccessible for mechanical cleaning.
- No provision to allow for differential thermal expansion developed between the tube and the shell side.
- This can be taken care by providing expansion joint on the shell side.
- 2. AEW, BEW, AEP, BEP, AES, BES:

Advantages:

- Floating tube sheet allows for differential thermal expansion between the shell and the tube bundle.
- Both the tube bundle and the shell side can be inspected and cleaned mechanically.

Limitation:

- To provide the floating-head cover it is necessary to bolt it to the tube sheet.
- The bolt circle requires the use of space where it would be possible to place a large number of tubes.
- Tubes cannot expand independently so that huge thermal shock applications should be avoided.
- Packing materials produce limits on design pressure and temperature.
- 3. BEU AEU:

Advantages:

- U-tube design allows for differential thermal expansion between the shell and the tube bundle as well as for individual tubes.
- Both the tube bundle and the shell side can be inspected and cleaned mechanically.
- Less costly than floating head or packed floating head designs

Limitation:

- Because of U-bend some tubes are omitted at the center of the tube bundle.
- Because of U-bend, tubes can be cleaned only by chemical methods.
- Due to U-tube nesting, individual tube is difficult to replace.
- No single tube pass or true counter current flow is possible.

CHAPTER 02 COMPONENT

MAVI MUMBAI - INDIA

2.1 Shell

It is a primary component that contains the pressure. Heat Exchanger shells in the form of different plates are welded together to form a structure that has a common rotational axis.

The main body of the Heat Exchanger is known as a shell. The process of heat Exchanger generally occurs in this region. Generally, manhole and handhole is located in this region. No other nozzle is mainly mounted on it. Internal pressure of the vessel acts more in this region.

Fig. 04 Shell

MAVI MUMBAI - INDIA

2.2 Dish End

The Heat Exchanger must be closed; so heads are manufactured typically on a curved rather than the flat. The reason is that curved configuration is stronger and allows heads to thinner, lighter and less expensive than the flatheads.

The upper and lower part of a Heat Exchanger is known as a dish end. Mostly the inside area of dish remains empty since no processes of Heat Exchanger occurs. Mostly many of the nozzle is mounted on the dish end. The manufacturing process of dish end is easy because dish is a single piece and only a pressing process is to be done.

Fig. 05 Dish End

2.3 Nozzle

Nozzle is a cylindrical component that penetrates in the shell or head of a Heat Exchanger. It is the sub assembles part of pressure vessel which is mounted on a shell & dish as par requirement. Nozzle is used to transfer/receive working medium from the Heat Exchanger and mounted equipment like pressure indicator etc.

NAVI MUMBAI - INDIA

2.4 Saddle Support

Saddle supports are commonly used to support Horizontal Heat Exchanger. A Heat Exchanger are subjected to pressure loading i.e. internal or external operating pressure different from ambient pressure. The Heat Exchanger are of horizontal or vertical type. For horizontal Heat Exchanger the saddle supporting system plays an important role in the performance of the equipment. A proper saddle supporting system improves safety and facilitate to operate the Heat Exchanger at higher pressure conditions which finally leads to higher efficiency.

Fig. 07 Saddle Support

 $AD - INDI^p$

NAVI MUM

CHAPTER 03

DESIGN PROCEDURE

NAVI MUM $AD - INDI^p$

ir.aiktclibrary.org

22

3.1 Problem Definition

To design and analyse the Heat Exchanger BEM as per ASME & TEMA guidelines for the client specification.Design data by client:

- 1. Design pressure (Atmospheric) $P = 9.80665$ bar, $P = 10$ kg/cm²
- 2. Design temperature: $-T = 140^\circ$
- 3. Design code: ASME & TEMA, Hx position = Horizontal
- 4. Shell type: Cylindrical Shell
- 5. Head: -Tori spherical
- 6. Outside diameter: 470mm
- 7. Length of Shell: 3609.06mm
- 8. Material of Shell: SA-516 GR.70
- 9. Nozzle:- 7, Flange material: SA-516 GR.70

MARK	QTY	DENOMINATION	DN(1)	TYPE
I		OUTLET ū	3"	FLANGE
\mathbf{I}		VISUAL LEVEL ш	1 ,	FLANGE
III		SULPHURIC ACID LOAD	3"	FLANGE
IV	1	MAN HOLE	24	FLANGE
\mathbf{V}	1	LEVEL TRANSMITTER	3"	FLANGE
VI	$\mathbf{1}$	VENT	4 "	FLANGE
VII	1	PRESSURE SAFETY VALVE DISCHARGE	3"	FLANGE
VIII	$\mathbf{1}$	OVERFLOW	3"	FLANGE
IX	$\mathbf{1}$	DRAIN	3"	FLANGE

Table 01. Design Data

Table 02. Bill of Material

Table 03. Nozzle Schedule

Fig. 11 Sectional Isometric View

3.2 Objective and Scope of Project

i)To understand components of General arrangement Heat Exchanger and its applications.

ii) To design the components of industrial Heat Exchanger vessel by analytical method in reference with A.S.M.E section viii Div. 1.

- iii) To validate the design using software PV-Elite version 2016.
- iv) Comparison of analytical and software calculation.
- v) Modelling of vessel in PV-Elite software.

3.3 General design rules material selection from ASME section VIII Div.1 and Section II Part D:

3.3.1 Material selection

Selection of materials is important activities that are essential for structural design. The selection of materials for ASME industrial storage vessels must be code approved. A metallurgical engineer usually specified the most economical materials at the lowest cost and/or lowest maintenance cost that will be satisfactory under operating conditions.

There are many factors supported by experience and laboratory test results that must be considered in selecting the most suitable materials. They include the following:

- Corrosion Resistance
- **Strength Requirements**
- **Cost**
- Availability
- **Ease of Fabrication**
- **Cost of Future Maintenance**
- Equipment Flexibility.

The range of materials used for industrial storage vessels is wide and includes but is not limited to, the following:

- Carbon steel (with less than 0.25% carbon).
- Carbon manganese steel (giving higher strength than carbon steel).
- Low alloy steels.
- High alloy steels.
- Austenitic stainless steels.
- Non-ferrous materials (aluminum, copper, nickel and alloys).
- High duty bolting materials.

3.3.2 UG-16 General Design (Reference ASME Section VIII Div.1 page no.13):

(a) Thedesignofpressurevesselsandvesselpartsshallconformtothegeneraldesignrequirements in the following paragraphs and in addition to the specific requirements for Design given in the applicable Parts of Subsections B and C.

(b) Minimum Thickness of Pressure Retaining Components. Except for the special provisions listed below, the minimum thickness permitted for shells and heads, after forming and regardless of product form and material, shall be 1/16 in. (1.5 mm) exclusive of any corrosion allowance. Exceptions are:

- (1) The minimum thickness does not apply to heat transfer plates of plate type heat exchangers;
- (2) This minimum thickness does not apply to the inner pipe of double pipe heat exchangers nor to pipes and tubes that are enclosed and protected from mechanical damage by a shell, casing, or ducting, where such pipes or tubes are NPS 6 (DN 150) and less. This exemption applies whether or not the outer pipe, shell, or protective element is constructed to Code rules. When the outer protective element is not provided by the Manufacturer as part of the vessel, the Manufacturer shall note this on the Manufacturer's Data Report, and the owner or his designated agent shall be responsible to assure that the required enclosures are installed prior to operation. Where Pipes and tubes are fully enclosed; consideration shall be given to avoiding build-up of pressure within the protective chamber due to a tube/pipe leak.
- (3) The minimum thickness of shells and heads of unfired steam boilers shall be 1/4 in. (6 mm) exclusive of any corrosion allowance;
- (4) The minimum thickness of shells and heads used in compressed air service, steam service, and water service, made from materials listed in Table UCS-23, shall be $3/32$ in. (2.5 mm) exclusive of any corrosion allowance.
- (5) This minimum thickness does not apply to the tubes in air cooled and cooling tower heat exchangers if all the following provisions are met:
	- a) The design thickness so that the thickness of the material furnished is not more than the smaller of 0.01 in. (0.25mm).
	- b) Pipe Under tolerance .If pipe or tube is ordered by its nominal wall thickness, the manufacturing under tolerance on wall thickness shall be taken into account except for nozzle wall reinforcement are a requirements.

- c) After the minimum wall thickness is determined, it shall be increased by an amount sufficient to provide the manufacturing under tolerance allowed in the pipe or tube specification.
- d) Corrosion Allowance in Design Formulas. The dimensional symbols used in all design formulasthroughout this Division represent dimensions in the corroded condition.

Table 04. Material selection

Table 05. Material Selection

WAWI MUMBAI - INDIA

3.4Analytical and Software calculation

3.4.1 Design of Torrispherical Dish End:

ir.aiktclibrary.org

MAWP for Dish end

 $P=2SEt/ML+0.2t$

P= (2*1406.139**4)/(1.77*67.9+0.2*1)

P= 23.03 kg/cm2

THEREFORE

 $MAWP > P$

DESIGN IS SAFE

Software calculations for Torispherical dish end

Fig. 13 Isometric View of Dish End

INDIA

Inside Corroded Head Depth [h]:

- = L sqrt((L Di / 2) * (L + Di / 2 2 * r))
- $= 18.63 sqrt((18.63 17.18/2) * (18.63 + 17.18/2 2 * 1.24))$
- $= 2.866$ in.

M factor for Torispherical Heads (Corroded):

- = $(3+sqrt((L+C)/(r+C)))/4$ per Appendix 1-4 (b & d)
- $=$ $(3+sqrt((18.504 + 0.1250) / (1.110 + 0.1250)))/4$

```
= 1.7209
```
ir.aiktclibrary.org

Required Thickness due to Internal Pressure [tr]:

- = $(P * L * M) / (2 * S * E 0.2 * P)$ per Appendix 1-4 (d)
- $=$ (142.237*18.6289*1.7209)/(2*20000.00*1.00-0.2*142.237)

```
= 0.1141 + 0.1250 = 0.2391 in.
```
Max Allowable Working Pressure at given Thickness, corroded [MAWP]:

- = $(2*S*E*t)/(M*L+0.2*t)$ per Appendix 1-4 (d)
- $=$ $(2*20000.00*1.00*0.6624)/(1.7209*18.6289+0.2*0.6624)$
- $= 823.105 \text{ psig}$

M factor for Torispherical Heads (New& Cold):

- = $(3 +sqrt(L/r))/4$ per Appendix 1-4 (b & d)
- $=$ $(3+sqrt(18.504/1.110))/4$
- $= 1.7706$ Maximum Allowable Pressure, New and Cold [MAPNC]:
- = $(2*S*E*t)/(M*L+0.2*t)$ per Appendix 1-4 (d)
- $=$ $(2*20000.00*1.00*0.7874)/(1.7706*18.5039+0.2*0.7874)$
- $= 956.718$ psig

Actual stress at given pressure and thickness, corroded [Sact]:

- = $(P*(M*L+0.2*t)) / (2*Et*)$
- $=$ (142.237* (1.7209*18.6289+0.2*0.6624))/(2*1.00*0.6624)
- $= 3456.100 \text{psi}$

Straight Flange Required Thickness:

- = $(P * R) / (S * E 0.6 * P) + c$ per UG-27 (c) (1)
- $=$ (142.237*8.5896)/(20000.00*1.00-0.6*142.237)+0.125
- $= 0.186$ in.

Straight Flange Maximum Allowable Working Pressure:

```
= (S*E*t)/(R+0.6*t) per UG-27 (c)(1)
```
- = $(20000.00 * 1.00 * 0.7411) / (8.5896 + 0.6 * 0.7411)$
- $= 1640.737$ psig

Percent Elong. per UCS-79, VIII-1-01-57 (75*tnom/Rf)*(1-Rf/Ro) 42.092 %

MDMT Calculations in the Knuckle Portion:

Govrn. thk, tg = 0.787 , tr = 0.188 , c = 0.1250 F o in., $E^* = 1.00$ StressRatio=tr* $(E^*)/(tg$ c)=0.284,Temp.Reduction=140

MDMT Calculations in the Head Straight Flange:

Govrn. thk, tg = 0.866 , tr = 0.102 , c = 0.1250 F° in., $E^* = 1.00$ StressRatio=tr* $(E^*)/(tg$ c)=0.137,Temp.Reduction=140

Min Metal Temp. w/o impact per UCS-66, Curve B

MAVI MUMBAI - INDIA

 24 \circ L

3.4.2 Design of Shell

Hence DESIGN IS SAFE
Software calculation for shell

Required Thickness due to Internal Pressure [tr]:

- = $(P*R) / (S*E-0.6*P)$ per UG-27 (c)(1)
- $=$ (142.237*8.5896)/(20000.00*1.00-0.6*142.237)
- $= 0.0613 + 0.1250 = 0.1863$ in.

Max. Allowable Working Pressure at given Thickness, corroded[MAWP]:

- = $(S*E*t)/(R+0.6*t)$ per UG-27 (c)(1)
- $=$ (20000.00*1.00*0.6624)/(8.5896+0.6*0.6624)
- $= 1474.131$ psig

Maximum Allowable Pressure, New and Cold [MAPNC]:

- = $(S*E*t)/(R+0.6*t)$ per UG-27 (c)(1)
- $=$ (20000.00*1.00*0.7874)/(8.4646+0.6*0.7874)
- $= 1762.115$ psig

Actual stress at given pressure and thickness, corroded[Sact]:

- = $(P*(R+0.6*t))/E*t)$
- $=$ (142.237* (8.5896+0.6*0.6624))/(1.00*0.6624)
- $= 1929.769$ psi

Percent Elongation per UCS-79 (50*tnom/Rf)*(1-Rf/Ro) 4.444 %

Minimum Design Metal Temperature Results:

Govrn. thk, tg = 0.787 , tr = 0.102 , c = 0.1250 in.

 $E^* = 1.00$ StressRatio=tr* $(E^*)/(tg)$

c)=0.153,Temp.Reduction=140

Cylindrical Shell From 40 To 50 SA-51670,UCS-66 Cr v .Bat **F** 28

ir.aiktclibrary.org

F

AI - INDIA

3.4.3 Design of Nozzle

Analytical calculation for nozzle 4"

From ASME SECTION II PART D

S=1026.48kg/cm²

NOZZLE WALL

THICKNESS

OD=4''=114.3mm

Ro= 57.15mm

NOZZLE WALL THICKNESS

REQUIREDt=(PXRo)/SE-0.6P

t=(142.4X57.15)/1026.48X1-0.6X142.4
t=8.64mm

t= 8.64mm(a)

WALL THICKNESS REQUIRED AS PER UG16b

t=5.27mm (b)

SHELL THICKNESS

t=8mm (c)

Selecting Greater Value From b

 $&$ ct1=8mm

Selecting Minimum Value From a

& bt2=5.27mm

As per UG 45 selecting

8mm From nozzle pipe

schedule chart Taking final

thickness t=8.56mm

Analytical calculation for nozzle 3''

From ASME SECTION II PART D

S=1026.48kg/cm²

NOZZLE WALL

THICKNESSOD=3''

 $= 88$ mm

 $Ro=44$ mm

NOZZLE WALL THICKNESS

REQUIREDt=(PXRo)/SE-0.6P

t=(142.4X44)/1026.48X1-0.6X142.4

t= 6.6mm(a)

WALL THICKNESS REQUIRED AS PER UG16b

- INDIA

t=4.8mm (b)

SHELL THICKNESS

t=8mm (c)

ir.aiktclibrary.org

Selecting greater value b & c

 $t1=8mm$

Selecting minimum value from a

& bt2=4.80mm

As per UG 45 selecting 8mm

From nozzle pipe schedule

chart Taking final thickness

t=11.13mm

MAVI MUMBAI - INDIA

Software calculation for nozzle

INPUT VALUES Nozzle Description: Noz N1Fr20 From:20

Class of attached Flange Grade of attached Flange

150 GR 1.1

MOIA

Nozzle Sketch (may not represent actual weld type/configuration)

Insert/Set-in Nozzle No Pad, no Inside projection

Reinforcement CALCULATION, Description: NozN1Fr20

ASME Code, Section VIII,Div.1, 2015,UG-37 toUG-45

Reqd thk perUG-37(a)of Cylindrical Shell, Tr [Int. Press]

- = $(P*R) / (Sv*E-0.6*P)$ per UG-27 (c)(1)
- $=$ (142.24*8.5896)/(20000*1.00-0.6*142.24)
- $= 0.0613$ in.

Reqd thk perUG-37(a)of Nozzle Wall, Trn [Int. Press]

- = $(P*R) / (Sn*E-0.6*P)$ per UG-27 (c)(1)
- $=$ $(142.24*2.00) / (17100*1.00-0.6*142.24)$
- $= 0.0167$ in.

UG-45 Minimum Nozzle Neck Thickness Requirement:[Int. Press.]

Determine Nozzle Thickness candidate [tb]:

- $= min[tb3, max(bb1, tb2)]$
- $= min[0.226 , max(0.1875 , 0.1875)]$
- $= 0.1875$ in.

Minimum Wall Thickness of Nozzle Necks [tUG-45]:

- $= max(ta, tb)$
- $= max(0.0167 , 0.1875)$
- $= 0.1875$ in.

Available Nozzle Neck Thickness = 0.5898 in.

Nozzle Junction Minimum Design Metal Temperature (MDMT) Calculations:

MDMT of the Nozzle Neck to Flange Weld, Curve: B

Govrn. thk, tg = 0.590 , tr = 0.017 , c = 0.0000 in.,

 $E^* = 1.00$ StressRatio =tr * $(E^*)/(tg$ -

c)= 0.028 ,Temp. Reduction =140

F o

INDIA

Results Per UW-16.1:

Maximum Allowable Pressure for this Nozzle at this Location:

Converged Max. Allow. Pressure in Operating case 1474.131 psig

Type of Element Connected to the Shell :Nozzle

The Pressure Design option was Design Pressure+ static head.

Nozzle Sketch (may not represent actual weld type/configuration)

Reinforcement CALCULATION, Description:NozN2Fr20

ASME Code, Section VIII,Div.1, 2015,UG-37 toUG-45

Nozzle input data check completed without errors.

Reqd thk perUG-37(a)of Cylindrical Shell, Tr [Int. Press]

- = $(P * R) / (Sv * E 0.6 * P)$ per UG-27 (c)(1)
- $=$ (142.24*8.5896)/(20000*1.00-0.6*142.24)
- $= 0.0613$ in.

Reqd thk perUG-37(a)of Nozzle Wall, Trn [Int. Press]

- = $(P * R) / (Sn * E 0.6 * P)$ per UG-27 (c)(1)
- $= (142.24*1.50)/(17100*1.00-0.6*142.24)$
- $= 0.0125$ in.

UG-45Minimum Nozzle Neck Thickness Requirement:[Int. Press.]

Nozzle Junction Minimum Design Metal Temperature (MDMT)Calculations:

MDMT of the Nozzle Neck to Flange Weld, Curve: B

Govrn. thk, tg = 0.302 , tr = 0.013 , c = 0.0000 in., E^{*} F

=

-

1.00StressRatio=tr*(E*)/(tg

c)=0.042,Temp.Reduction=

140

Min Metal Temp. w/o impact per UCS-66, Curve B $-20 °F$ Min Metal Temp. at Required thickness (UCS 66.1) $-155 °F$ ResultsPerUW-16.1:

> Required Thickness Actual Thickness

 $0.2111 = 0.7 * tmin.$ 0.2783 = 0.7 * Wo in. No₄²RikteliBrary.org

Maximum Allowable Pressure for this Nozzle at this Location:

Converged Max. Allow. Pressure in Operating case 1474.131 psig

The Drop for this Nozzle is: 0.1939 in.

INPUT VALUES, Nozzle Description: Noz N1Fr40 From:40

The Cut Length for this Nozzle is, $Drop + Ho + H + T : 6.8869$ in.

Pressure for Reinforcement Calculations $\, {\bf p}$ 142.237 psig Temperature for Internal Pressure Temp 284 \circ F Shell Material SA-516 70 Shell Allowable Stress at Temperature $\rm{S}\rm{v}$ 20000.00 psi 20000.00 psi Shell Allowable Stress At Ambient Sva Inside Diameter of Cylindrical Shell \overline{D} 16.9291 in. Shell Finished (Minimum) Thickness 0.7874 in. t Shell Internal Corrosion Allowance \rm{C} 0.1250 in. Shell External Corrosion Allowance 0.0000 $_{\rm CO}$ in. Distance from Bottom/Left Tangent 3.2252 ft. User Entered Minimum Design Metal Temperature \circ F 19.40

Type of Element Connected to the Shell :Nozzle

ir.aiktclibrary.org

The Pressure Design option was Design Pressure+ static head.

Nozzle Sketch (may not represent actual weld type/configuration)

ReqdthkperUG-37(a) of Nozzle Wall, Trn [Int. Press]

- = $(P*R) / (Sn*E-0.6*P)$ per UG-27 (c)(1)
- $=$ $(142.24*1.50)/(17100*1.00-0.6*142.24)$
- $= 0.0125$ in.

UG-45MinimumNozzleNeckThicknessRequirement:[Int.Press.]

```
Wall Thickness for Internal/External pressures
                                                    ta = 0.0125 in.Wall Thickness, peheUG164bd, interbal=pmex$trb2, trr6bb = 0.1875 im.
```
Wall Thickness per table UG-45

Nozzle Junction Minimum Design Metal Temperature (MDMT)Calculations:

MDMT of the Nozzle Neck to Flange Weld, Curve: B

Type of Element Connected to the Shell : Nozzle

ć

Class of attached Flange Grade of attached Flange

150 GR 1.1

The Pressure Design option was Design Pressure+ static head.

Nozzle Sketch (may not represent actual weld type/configuration)

Insert/Set-in Nozzle No Pad, no Inside projection

Reinforcement CALCULATION, Description: NozN2Fr40

ASME Code, Section VIII,Div.1, 2015,UG-37 toUG-45

Nozzle input data check completed without errors.

ReqdthkperUG-37(a)of Cylindrical Shell,Tr [Int. Press]

ir.aiktclibrary.org

Nozzle Junction Minimum Design Metal Temperature (MDMT)Calculations:

MDMT of the Nozzle Neck to Flange Weld, Curve: B

```
Govrn. thk, tg = 0.302, tr = 0.013, c = 0.0000 in., E<sup>*</sup>
                                                       F o
= 1.00StressRatio=tr*(E*)/(tg -c)=0.042,Temp.Reduction=140
```


 $= 0.0613$ in.

ReqdthkperUG-37(a)of Nozzle Wall, Trn[Int. Press]

- = $(P * R) / (Sn * E 0.6 * P)$ per UG-27 (c) (1)
- $=$ (142.24*1.50)/(17100*1.00-0.6*142.24)
- $= 0.0125$ in.

UG-45 Minimum Nozzle Neck Thickness Requirement:[Int. Press.]

ResultsPerUW-16.1:

Required Thickness Actual Thickness $0.2111 = 0.7 * tmin.$ 0.2783 = 0.7 * Wo in. Nozzle Weld

Maximum Allowable Pressure for this Nozzle at this Location:

Converged Max. Allow. Pressure in Operating case 1474.131 psig

The Drop for this Nozzle is: 0.1939 in.

The Cut Length for this Nozzle is, Drop + Ho+ H +T : 6.8869in.

INPUT VALUES, Nozzle Description: Noz N3Fr40 From :40

Type of Element Connected to the Shell :Nozzle

Class of attached Flange Grade of attached Flange 150 GR 1.1

- INDIA

The Pressure Design option was Design Pressure+ static head.

Nozzle Sketch (may not represent actual weld type/configuration)

Insert/Set-in Nozzle No Pad, no Inside projection

ReinforcementCALCULATION,Description:NozN3Fr40

ASME Code, Section VIII,Div.1, 2015,UG-37 toUG-45

Nozzle input data check completed without errors.

ir.aiktclibrary.org

MUMBAI

ReqdthkperUG-37(a) of Cylindrical Shell, Tr [Int. Press]
= $(P * R) / (Sv * E - 0.6 * P)$ per UG-27 (c) (1)

-
- $=$ $(142.24*8.5896)/(20000*1.00-0.6*142.24)$
- $= 0.0613$ in.

ReqdthkperUG-37(a)of Nozzle Wall, Trn[Int. Press]

- = $(P*R) / (Sn*E-0.6*P)$ per UG-27 (c)(1)
- $=$ $(142.24*1.50)/(17100*1.00-0.6*142.24)$
- $= 0.0125$ in.

UG-45Minimum Nozzle Neck Thickness Requirement:[Int.Press.]

Nozzle Junction Minimum Design Metal Temperature (MDMT)Calculations:

F o

MDMT of the Nozzle Neck to Flange Weld, Curve: B

Govrn. thk, tg = 0.302 , tr = 0.013 , c = 0.0000 in., E^{*}

=

-

1.00StressRatio=tr*(E*)/(tg

and the second state of

```
c)=0.042,Temp.Reduction=
```
140

 $-20 °F$ Min Metal Temp. w/o impact per UCS-66, Curve B Min Metal Temp. at Required thickness (UCS 66.1) $-155 °F$ ResultsPerUW-16.1:

NAVIM

Required Thickness Actual Thickness Nozzle Weld $0.2111 = 0.7 * tmin.$ 0.2783 = 0.7 * Wo in.

Maximum Allowable Pressure for this Nozzle at this Location:

Converged Max. Allow. Pressure in Operating case 1474.131 psig ir.aiktclibrary.org

The Drop for this Nozzle is: 0.1939 in.

The Cut Length for this Nozzle is, Drop + Ho+ H +T : 6.8869in.

 GR 1.1

150

The Pressure Design option was Design Pressure+ static head.

Nozzle Sketch (may not represent actual weld type/configuration)

Insert/Set-in Nozzle No Pad, no Inside projection

Reinforcement CALCULATION,Description:NozN1Fr60

ASME Code, Section VIII,Div.1, 2015,UG-37 toUG-45

Nozzle input data check completed without errors.

```
ReqdthkperUG-37(a) of Cylindrical Shell, Tr[Int. Press]<br>= (P * R) / (Sv * E - 0.6 * P) per UG-27 (c)(1)
```

```
= (142.24*8.5896)/(20000*1.00-0.6*142.24)
```

```
= 0.0613 in.
```
ReqdthkperUG-37(a)of Nozzle Wall, Trn[Int. Press]

- = $(P*R) / (Sn*E-0.6*P)$ per UG-27 (c)(1)
- $=$ $(142.24 \times 2.00) / (17100 \times 1.00 0.6 \times 142.24)$
- $= 0.0167$ in.

UG-45Minimum Nozzle Neck Thickness Requirement:[Int. Press.]


```
= \text{main} [ th3, thax ( th1, th2) ]
```

```
= \text{main} [ 0.2267, , \text{max18051875} ]0.1875 ) ]
```

```
= 0.1875 in.
```
Nozzle Junction Minimum Design Metal Temperature (MDMT) Calculations:

MDMT of the Nozzle Neck to Flange Weld, Curve: B

Govrn. thk, tg = 0.590 , tr = 0.017 , c = 0.0000 in., E^{*}

START

=

-

```
1.00StressRatio=tr*(E*)/(tg
```
c)=0.028,Temp.Reduction=

140

MAVI MU

ResultsPerUW-16.1:

Required Thickness Actual Thickness $0.2500 = Min per Code 0.2783 = 0.7 * Wo in.$ No 17. Biktelibrary.org

F o

 $-$ INDIA

Maximum Allowable Pressure for this Nozzle at this Location:

Converged Max. Allow. Pressure in Operating case 1474.131 psig

Class of attached Flange Grade of attached Flange 150 GR 1.1

- INDIA

The Pressure Design option was Design Pressure+ static head.

Nozzle Sketch (may not represent actual weld type/configuration)

Insert/Set-in Nozzle No Pad, no Inside projection

Reinforcement CALCULATION, Description:NozN2Fr60

ASME Code, Section VIII,Div.1, 2015,UG-37 toUG-45

Nozzle input data check completed without errors.

ReqdthkperUG-37(a) of Cylindrical Shell, Tr [Int. Press]
= $(P * R) / (Sv * E - 0.6 * P)$ per UG-27 (c)(1)

-
- $=$ (142.24*8.5896)/(20000*1.00-0.6*142.24)
- $= 0.0613$ in.

ReqdthkperUG-37(a) of Nozzle Wall, Trn [Int. Press]

- = $(P*R) / (Sn*E-0.6*P)$ per UG-27 (c)(1)
- $= (142.24*1.50) / (17100*1.00-0.6*142.24)$
- $= 0.0125$ in.

UG-45Minimum Nozzle Neck Thickness Requirement:[Int. Press.]

The Drop for this Nozzle is: 0.4059 in.

The Cut Length for this Nozzle is, Drop + Ho + H +T: 7.0988in.

The Pressure Design option was Design Pressure+ static head.

Nozzle Sketch (may not represent actual weld type/configuration)

Insert/Set- in Nozzle No Pad, no Inside projection

Reinforcement CALCULATION, Description: NozN2Fr60

ASME Code, Section VIII,Div.1, 2015,UG-37 toUG-45

Actual Inside Diameter Used in Calculation Actual Thickness Used in Calculation

Nozzle input data check completed without errors.

ReqdthkperUG-37(a) of Cylindrical Shell, Tr[Int.

Press]

- = $(P*R) / (Sv*E-0.6*P)$ per UG-27 (c)(1)
- $=$ (142.24*8.5896)/(20000*1.00-0.6*142.24)
- $= 0.0613$ in.

ReqdthkperUG-37(a) of Nozzle Wall, Trn [Int. Press]

- = $(P*R) / (Sn*E-0.6*P)$ per UG-27 (c)(1)
- $=$ (142.24*1.50)/(17100*1.00-0.6*142.24)
- $= 0.0125$ in.

ir.aiktclibrary.org

3.000

MBAI-INDIA

 0.302 in.

ιin.

MDMT of the Nozzle Neck to Flange Weld, Curve: B Govrn. thk, tg = 0.302 , tr = 0.013 , c = 0.0000 in., E^{*} F c = 1.00StressRatio=tr*(E*)/(tg c)=0.042,Temp.Reduction= 140 \circ F Min Metal Temp. w/o impact per UCS-66, Curve B -20 Min Metal Temp. at Required thickness (UCS 66.1) \circ F 155 ResultsPerUW-16.1:

Required Thickness Actual Thickness Nozzle Weld $0.2111 = 0.7 * tmin.$ 0.2783 = 0.7 * Wo in.

Maximum Allowable Pressure for this Nozzle at this Location:

Converged Max. Allow. Pressure in Operating case 1474.131 psig The Drop for this Nozzle is: 0.1939 in.

The Cut Length for this Nozzle is, $Drop + Ho + H + T : 6.8869$ in.

NozzleSchedule:

HITECH CAR

Nozzle Miscellaneous Data:

Nozzle Calculation Summary:

Check the Spatial Relationship between the Nozzles

3.4.4 Software calculation for saddle support

Fig. 17 Saddle support

ASME Horizontal Vessel Analysis: Stresses for the Left Saddle

Input and Calculated Values:

Intermediate Results: Saddle Reaction Q due to Wind or

SeismicSaddle Reaction Force due to Wind Ft [Fwt]:

= Ftr * (Ft/Num of Saddles + Z Force Load) * B / E $= 3.00 * (193.9/2 + 0) * 17.7165/15.4512$ $= 333.5$ lbf

Saddle Reaction Force due to Wind Fl or Friction[Fwl]:

= max(Fl, Friction Load, Sum of X Forces) * B / Ls

 $= max(22.51, 0.00, 0) * 17.7165/45.1772$

 $= 8.8$ lbf

Load Combination Results for Q+ Wind or Seismic[Q]:

- = Saddle Load + Max (Fwl, Fwt, Fsl, Fst)
- $= 1373 + Max(9, 333, 0, 0)$
- $= 1706.6$ lbf

Summary of Loads at the base of this Saddle:

Formulas and Substitutions for Horizontal Vessel Analysis:
Note: Wear Plate is Welded to the Shell, $k = 0.1$
The Computed K volvesses and $k = 0.1$ Note: Wear Plate is Welded to the Shell, $k = 0.1$ The Computed K valuesfromTable4.15.1:


```
Moment per Equation4.15.3 [M1]:
      = -Q*a [1 - (1- a/L + (R^2-h2^2)/(2a*L)/(1+(4h2)/3L)]
= -1707*0.26[1-(1-0.26/6.14+(0.743<sup>2</sup>-0.000<sup>2</sup>)/(2*0.26*6.14)) / (1 + (4*0.00) / (3*6.14))]= 57.7 \text{ ft.lb.}
```
Moment per Equation 4.15.4 [M2]:

- = $Q^{\star}L/4$ (1+2 (R²-h2²)/(L²))/(1+(4h2)/(3L))-4a/L
- = $1707*6.1/4(1+2(0.743²-0.000²)/(6.14²))/(1+(4*0.000)/$ $(3*6.135)$) -4*0.26/6.14
- $= 2246.5 \text{ ft.lb.}$

Longitudinal Stress at Top of Shell(4.15.6) [Sigma1]:

```
= P * Rm/(2t) - M2/(pi * Rm^2t)
```

```
= 142.237 * 8.921/(2*0.662)26957.9/(pi*8.9<sup>2</sup>*0.662)
```
 $= 794.99$ psi

Longitudinal Stress at Bottom of Shell(4.15.7) [Sigma2]:

```
= P * Rm/(2t) + M2/(pi * Rm^2 * t)
```
= $142.237 * 8.921/(2 * 0.662) + 26957.9/(pi * 8.92 * 0.662)$

 $= 1120.56$ psi

Longitudinal Stress at Top of Shell at Support(4.15.8) [Sigma3]: = $P * Rm/(2t) - M1/(pi * Rm^2 * t)$ $= 142.237 * 8.921/(2 * 0.662) - 692.4/(pi * 8.92 * 0.662)$ $= 953.59$ psi

Longitudinal Stress at bottom of Shell at Support (4.15.9) [Sigma4]:

```
= P * Rm/(2t) + M1/(pi * Rm^2t)= P * Rm/(2t) + M1/(pi * Rm^2t)<br>= 142.237 * 8.921/(2*0.662) + 692.4/(pi*8.9<sup>2*0</sup>.662)
= 961.95 psi
```
Maximum Shear Force in the Saddle(4.15.5)[T]:

```
= Q(L-2a) / (L+(4*h2/3))
```
 $= 1707 (6.14 - 2 * 0.26) / (6.14 + (4 * 0.00/3))$

 $= 1560.6$ lbf

Shear Stress in the shell no rings, stiffened(4.15.15)[tau3]:

= K3 * Q / (Rm * t) $= 0.8799 * 1707 / (8.9208 * 0.6624)$ $= 254.12$ psi

Shear Stress in the head, shell stiffened (4.15.16)[tau3*]:

```
= K3 * Q / (Rm * th)= 0.8799 * 1707 / (8.9208 * 1.5650)= 107.56 psi
```
Decay Length $(4.15.22)[x1,x2]$:

- $= 0.78 * sqrt(Rm * t)$
- $= 0.78 * sqrt(8.921 * 0.662)$
- $= 1.896$ in.

Circumferential Stress in shell, no rings(4.15.23)[sigma6]:

```
= -K5 * Q * k / ( t * ( b + X1 + X2 ) )
```

```
= -0.7603 * 1707 * 0.1 / (0.662 * (7.87 + 1.90 + 1.90))
```
- $= -16.79$ psi
- Effective reinforcing plate width(4.15.1)[B1]:
- = $min(b + 1.56 * sqrt(Rm * t) , 2a)$

```
= min( 7.87 + 1.56 * sqrt( 8.921 * 0.662 ), 2 * 3.150)
```
 $= 6.30 in.$

Wear Plate/Shell Stress ratio(4.15.29)[eta]:

```
= min(Sr/S, 1)
```

```
= min(20000.000/20000.000 , 1)
```
 $= 1.0000$

Circumferential Stress at wear plate(4.15.26)[sigma6,r]:

 $-$ INDIA

```
= -K5 * Q * k / ( B1 ( t + eta * tr ) )
   = -0.7603 * 1707 * 0.1 / (6.299 (0.662 + 1.000 * 0.394))= -19.50 psi
```
Circ. Comp. Stress at Horn of Saddle, L>=8Rm(4.15.27)[sigma7,r]:

```
= -Q/(4(t+eta*tr)b1) - 3*KT*Q/(2(t+eta*tr)^2)= -1707/(4(0.662 + 1.000 * 0.394)6.299) -3 * 0.013 * 1707 / (2(0.662 + 1.000 * 0.394 )^2)
```
 $= -94.46$ psi

Free Un-Restrained Thermal Expansion between the Saddles[Exp]:

```
= Alpha * Ls * ( Design Temperature - Ambient Temperature )
```

```
= 0.687E-05 * 45.177 * (284.0 - 70.0
```

```
= 0.066 in.
```
ASME Horizontal Vessel Analysis: Stresses for the Right Saddle

(per ASME Sec. VIII Div.2 based on the Zick method.)

Input and Calculated Values:

Horizontal Vessel Analysis Results: Actual Allowable Long. Stress at Top of Midspan 20000.00 psi 656.37 Long. Stress at Bottom of Midspan 1259.18 20000.00 psi Long. Stress at Top of Saddles 950.03 20000.00 psi Long. Stress at Bottom of Saddles 965.51 20000.00 psi Tangential Shear in Shell 470.53 16000.00 psi

Intermediate Results: Saddle Reaction Q due to Wind or Seismic

Saddle Reaction Force due to Wind Ft[Fwt]:

```
= Ftr * ( Ft/Num of Saddles + Z Force Load ) * B / E
= 3.00 * (193.9/2 + 0) * 17.7165/15.4512= 333.5 lbf
```
Saddle Reaction Force due to Wind Fl or Friction[Fwl]:

```
= max( Fl, Friction Load, Sum of X Forces) * B / Ls
= max(22.51, 0.00, 0) * 17.7165/45.17= 8.8 lbf
```
Load Combination Results for Q+ Wind or Seismic[Q]:

INDIA
= Saddle Load + Max(Fwl, Fwt, Fsl, Fst)

 $= 2826 + Max(9, 333, 0, 0)$

 $= 3159.9$ lbf

Summary of Load sat the base of this Saddle:

Formulas and Substitutions for Horizontal Vessel Analysis:

Note: Wear Plate is Welded to the Shell, $k = 0.1$

The Computed K valuesfromTable4.15.1:

The suffix 'p' denotes the values for a wear plate if It exists.

Note: Dimension a is less than Rm/2.

Moment per Equation4.15.3[M1]:

```
= -Q*a [1 - (1- a/L + (R<sup>2</sup>-h2<sup>2</sup>)/(2a*L))/(1+(4h2)/3L)]
```
- $= -3160*0.26[1-(1-0.26/6.14+(0.743²-0.000²)]$ $(2*0.26*6.14)) / (1+(4*0.00) / (3*6.14))$
- $= 106.8 \text{ ft.lb.}$

Moment per Equation4.15.4[M2]:

- = $Q^{\star}L/4 (1+2 (R^2-h2^2) / (L^2)) / (1+(4h2) / (3L)) 4a/L$
- $= 3160*6.1/4(1+2(0.743²-0.000²)/(6.14²))/(1+(4*0.000)/$ $(3*6.135)) - 4*0.26/6.14$
- $= 4159.6 \text{ ft.lb.}$ Longitudinal Stress at Top of Shell(4.15.6)[Sigma1]:

- INDIA

 $= P * Rm/(2t) - M2/(pi * Rm^2t)$

```
= 142.237 * 8.921/(2*0.662) - 49914.9/(pi*8.92*0.662)
```
 $= 656.37 \text{ psi}$

Longitudinal Stress at Bottom of Shell(4.15.7) [Sigma2]:

= $P * Rm/(2t) + M2/(pi * Rm^2 * t)$ = $142.237 * 8.921/(2 * 0.662) + 49914.9/(pi * 8.92 * 0.662)$ $= 1259.18$ psi

Longitudinal Stress at Top of Shell at Support(4.15.8) [Sigma3]: = $P * Rm/(2t) - M1/(pi * Rm^2 * t)$ = $142.237 * 8.921/(2 * 0.662) - 1282.0/(pi * 8.92 * 0.662)$ $= 950.03$ psi

Longitudinal Stress at bottom of Shell at Support(4.15.9) [Sigma4]:

```
= P * Rm/(2t) + M1/(pi * Rm^2t)= 142.237 * 8.921/(2*0.662) + 1282.0/(pi*8.92*0.662)
```
 $= 965.51$ psi

Maximum Shear Force in the Saddle(4.15.5)[T]:

- = $Q(L-2a) / (L+(4*h2/3))$
- $= 3160 (6.14 2 * 0.26) / (6.14 + (4 * 0.00/3))$
- $= 2889.5$ lbf

Shear Stress in the shell no rings, stiffened(4.15.15)[tau3]:

- = K3 * Q / (Rm * t)
- $= 0.8799 * 3160 / (8.9208 * 0.6624)$
- $= 470.53$ psi

Shear Stress in the head, shell stiffened $(4.15.16)$ [tau3*]:

```
= 0.8799 * 3160 / (8.9208 * 1.5650)
```

```
= 199.15 psi
```
INDIA

Decay Length $(4.15.22)[x1,x2]$:

= 0.78 * sqrt(Rm * t)

= 0.78 * sqrt(8.921 * 0.662)

 $= 1.896$ in.

Circumferential Stress in shell, no rings(4.15.23)[sigma6]:

= $-K5 * Q * k / (t * (b + X1 + X2))$ $= -0.7603 * 3160 * 0.1 / (0.662 * (7.87 + 1.90 + 1.90))$ $= -31.09$ psi

Effective reinforcing plate width(4.15.1)[B1]:

```
= min( b + 1.56 * sqrt( Rm * t ), 2a
```

```
= min( 7.87 + 1.56 * sqrt( 8.921 * 0.662 ), 2 * 3.150
```
 $= 6.30 in.$

Wear Plate/Shell Stress ratio (4.15.29)[eta]:

Thurs

- $= min(Sr/S, 1)$
- $= min(20000.000/20000.000, 1)$
- $= 1.0000$

Circumferential Stress at wear plate (4.15.26)[sigma6,r]:

```
= -K5 * Q * k / (B1(t + eta * tr))
= -0.7603 * 3160 * 0.1 / (6.299 (0.662 + 1.000 * 0.394))= -36.11 psi
```
Circ. Comp. Stress at Horn of Saddle, L>=8Rm(4.15.27)[sigma7,r]:

```
= -Q/(4(t+eta*tr)b1) - 3*K7*Q/(2(t+eta*tr)^2)
```

```
= -3160/(4(0.662 + 1.000 * 0.394))6.299) -
```

```
3 * 0.013 * 3160 / (2(0.662 + 1.000 * 0.394
```

```
= -174.90 psi
```
- INDIA

3.4.5 Software calculation for tube sheet

Fig. 18 Tubesheet **Input Echo, Tube Sheet Item 1, Description: TUBESHEET** Tubesheet Design Code TEMA Shell Desc. SHELL 2 Shell Design Pressure psig $_{\rm PS}$ 142.24 Shell Temperature for Internal Pressure 283.98 TEMPS \circ F Shell Material SA-516 70 Shell Material UNS Number K02700 Shell Allowable Stress at Temperature Sos 20000.00 psi Shell Allowable Stress at Ambient Sas 20000.00 psi Shell Thickness 0.7874 in. $\operatorname{{\mathtt T}}\operatorname{{\mathtt s}}$ Shell Internal Corrosion Allowance
MaamdMeDaam@empewatSmellor Shell $\mathrm{C_{\widehat{\text{DB}}}}$ 143328 $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{n}{2}$: Channel Desc. SHELL 1 ${\rm P}{\rm C}$ Channel Design Pressure 284.47 psig Channel Temperature for Internal Pressure -283.98 $^\circ\mathrm{F}$ TEMPC Channel Material SA-516 70 Channel Material UNS Number K02700 Channel Allowable Stress at Temperature Soc 20000.00 psi Channel Allowable Stress at Ambient Sac 20000.00 psi Channel Thickness Tc 0.7874 in. Channel Corrosion Allowance Cac 0.1250 in. Inside Diameter of Channel 16.929 $\mathop{\rm DC}\nolimits$ in. Mean Metal Temperature for Tubes 32.00 $^{\circ}\mathrm{F}$ tm 76

ir.aiktclibrary.org

Additional Data for Fixed Tube sheet Exchangers

Additional Data for Tube sheets Extended as Flanges:

3.4.6 Software calculation for flange

Fig. 19 Flange

Flange Input Data Values Description: FLANGE :

FLANGE1

Description of Flange Geometry (Type) Integral Weld Neck Design Pressure $\, {\bf p}$ 142.24 psig Design Temperature
ExternatoSounoAlonwAhtewanc@hickness Calcsca \circ $_{\rm F}$ 284 0.0050 in.

NAVI MUM

AI - INDIA

ASME Code, Section VIII, Division1,2015

Hub Small End Required Thickness due to Internal Pressure:

- = $(P*(D/2+Ca)) / (S*E-0.6*P)$ per UG-27 (c)(1)
- $=$ (142.24*(18.4252/2+0.1250))/(20000.00*1.00-0.6*142.24)+Ca
- $= 0.1917$ in.

Hub Small End Hub MAWP:

- = $(S*E*t)/(R+0.6*t) per UG-27 (c) (1)$
- = $(20000.00 * 1.00 * 0.6624) / (9.3376 + 0.6 * 0.6624)$
- $= 1360.860$ psig

Basic Flange and Bolt Loads:

Hydrostatic End Load due to Pressure [H]:

- = $0.785 * G^2 * Peq$
- $= 0.785 * 22.7500^2 * 142.237$
- $= 57818.168$ lbf

Contact Load on Gasket Surfaces[Hp]:

= 2 * b * Pi * G * m * P = 2 * 0.3588 * 3.1416 * 22.7500 * 0.0000 * 142.24 $= 0.000 lbf$

 $\sqrt{1 - 1001b}$

```
Hydrostatic End Load at Flange ID [Hd]:
= Pi * Bcor^2 * P / 4= 3.1416 * 18.6752^2 * 142.2367/4= 38961.160 lbfPressure Force on Flange Face [Ht]:
   = H - Hd= 57818 - 38961= 18857.008 lbfOperating Bolt Load[Wm1]:
   = max(H + Hp + H'p, 0)= max(57818 + 0 + 0 , 0)= 57818.168 lbf
     Gasket Seating Bolt Load[Wm2]:
   = y * b * Pi * G + yPart * bPart * lp= 0.00*0.3588*3.141*22.750+0.00*0.0000*0.00= 0.000 lbfRequired Bolt Area[Am]:
   = Maximum of Wm1/sb, Wm2/Sa
```

```
= Maximum of 57818/25000, 0/25000
```

```
= 2.313 in<sup>2</sup>
```
ASME Maximum Circumferential Spacing between Bolts per App.2eq.(3)[Bs max]:

```
= 2a + 6t/(m + 0.5)
```

```
= 2 * 1.125 + 6 * 1.690/(0.00 + 0.5)
```
 $= 22.530$ in.

Actual Circumferential Bolt Spacing [Bs]:

```
= C * sin(pi / n)
```

```
= 25.000 * sin(3.142/20)
```

```
= 3.911 in.
```
ASME Moment Multiplier for Bolt Spacing per App.2 eq.(7) [Bsc]:

```
= max(sqrt(18s)(12a + 1)12s + 1.690)), 1)
```
II - INDIA

 $= 1.0000$

Flange Input Data Values Description: New Flange :

FLANGE2

 \sim

ASME Code, Section VIII, Division1,2015

Hub Small End Required Thickness due to Internal Pressure:

- = $(P*(D/2+Ca)) / (S*E-0.6*P)$ per UG-27 (c)(1)
- $= (142.24*(18.4252/2+0.1250)) / (20000.00*1.00-0.6*142.24) + Ca$
- $= 0.1917$ in.

Hub Small End Hub MAWP:

- = $(S*E*t)/(R+0.6*t)$ per UG-27-(c)(1)
- $=$ (20000.00 * 1.00 * 0.6624)/(9.3376 + 0.6 * 0.6624)
- $= 1360.860$ psig

Basic Flange and Bolt Loads:

Hydrostatic End Load due to Pressure[H]:

```
= 0.785 * G^2 * Peq= 0.785 * 22.7500^2 * 142.237= 57818.168 lbf
```
Contact Load on Gasket Surfaces[Hp]:

```
= 2 * b * Pi * G * m * P= 2 * 0.3588 * 3.1416 * 22.7500 * 0.0000 * 142.24
= 0.000 lbfHydrostatic End Load at Flange ID [Hd]:
= Pi * Bcor^2 * P / 4= 3.1416 * 18.6752 * 142.2367/4= 38961.160 lbfPressure Force on Flange Face[Ht]:
= H - Hd
= 57818 - 38961= 18857.008 lbfOperating Bolt Load[Wm1]:
= max(H + Hp + H'p, 0)= max(57818 + 0 + 0 , 0)= 57818.168 lbf
 Gasket Seating Bolt Load[Wm2]:
= y * b * Pi * G + yPart * bPart * lp= 0.00*0.3588*3.141*22.750+0.00*0.0000*0.00MUMBAI - INDIA
= 0.000 lbfRequired Bolt Area[Am]:
= Maximum of Wm1/Sb, Wm2/Sa
= Maximum of 57818/25000, 0/25000
```

```
= 2.313 in<sup>2</sup>
```
ASME Maximum Circumferential Spacing between Bolts per App.2eq.(3)[Bs max]:

```
= 22*536t25m++60*51.690/(0.00 + 0.5)
```
Actual Circumferential Bolt Spacing[Bs]:

- $= C * sin(Fi/n)$
- $= 25.000 * sin(3.142/20)$
- ASME Moment Multiplier for Bolt Spacing per App.2 eq.(7) [Bsc]:
- = $max(sqrt(c)Bs/(2a + t))$, 1)
- = $\max_{\mathbf{0}}\left(\begin{array}{c|c}\text{sqrt} \\ \text{sqrt} \\ \text{sqrt} \end{array}\right)$ (3.911/(2 * 1.125 + 1.690)), 1)

CHAPTER 04 MANUFACTURING

NAVI MUMBAI - INDIA

4.1Roller Machine IR@AIKTC-KRRC

A plate rolling machine is a machine that will roll different kinds of metal sheet into a round or conical shape. It can be also called a roll bending machine, plate bending machine or rolling machine.

A plate rolling machine is a mechanical jig having three rollers used to form a metal bar into a circular arc. The rollers freely rotate about three parallel axes, which are arranged with uniform horizontal spacing. Twoouter rollers, usually immobile, cradle the bottom of the material while the inner roller, whose position is adjustable, presses on the topside of the material. The material to be shaped is suspended between the rollers.The end rollers support the bottom side of the bar and have a matching contour (inverse shape) to it in orderto maintain the cross-sectional shape. Likewise, the middle roller is forced against the topside of the bar andhas a matching contour to it.

On contact with the sheet, the roll contacts on two points and it rotates as the forming process bends the sheet. This bending method is typically considered non-marking forming process suitable oprepainted or easily marred surfaces. This bending process can produce angles greater than 90° in a single hit on standardpress brakes process.

Fig. 20 Rolling machine

4.2 Hydraulic press

A hydraulic press is a device using a hydraulic cylinder to generate a compressive force. It uses the hydraulicequivalent of a mechanical lever, and was also known as a Bramah press after the inventor, Joseph Bramah,of England He invented and was issued a patent on this press in 1795. He studied the existing literature onthe motion of fluids and put this knowledge into the development of the press.

The hydraulic press depends on Pascal's principle he pressure throughout a closed system is constant. One part of the system is a piston acting as a pump, with a modest mechanical force acting on a small cross- sectional area; the other part is a piston with a larger area which generates a correspondingly large mechanical force. Only small diameter tubing is needed if the pump is separated from the press cylinder.

Pascal's law: Pressure on a confined fluid is transmitted undiminished and acts with equal force on equal areas and at 90 degrees to the container wall. A small effort force acts on a small piston. This creates a pressure which is transferred through the hydraulic fluid to large a large piston.

Fig.21 Hydraulic press

4.3 Gas tungsten arc welding

Gas tungsten arc welding (**GTAW**), also known as **tungsten inert gas** (**TIG**) **welding**, is an arc welding process that uses an on-consumable tungsten electrode to produce the weld. The weld area and electrode isprotected from oxidation or other atmospheric contamination by an inert shielding gas (argon or helium), and a filler metal is normally used, though some welds, known as auto genus welds, do not require it. A constant-current welding power supply produces electrical energy, which is conducted across the arc through a column of highly ionized gas and metal vapors known as a plasma. Before welding a spot of a weld is create on the portion of the welding area. It is done keep the two edges in contact with each other.

Fig. 22 Gas tungsten arc welding machine

CHAPTER 05 INSPECTION

NAVI MUMBAI - INDIA

5.1Radiography test

Industrial radiography is a method of non-destructive testing where many types of manufactured components can be examined to verify the internal structure and integrity of the specimen. Industrial Radiography can be performed utilizing either X-rays or gamma rays. Both are forms of electromagnetic radiation. The difference between various forms of electromagnetic energy is relatedto the wavelength. X and gamma rays have the shortest wavelength and this property leads to the abilityto penetrate, travel through, and exit various materials such as carbon steel and other metals.

The beam of radiation must be directed to the middle of the section under examination and must be normal to the material surface at that point, except in special techniques where known defects are bestrevealed by a different alignment of the beam. The length of weld under examination for each exposure shall be such that the thickness of the material at the diagnostic extremities, measured in the directionof the incident beam, does not exceed the actual thickness at that point by more than 6%. The specimen to be inspected is placed between the source of radiation and the detecting device, usually the film in a light tight holder or cassette, and the radiation is allowed to penetrate the part for the required lengthof time to be adequately recorded.

The result is a two-dimensional projection of the part onto the film, producing a latent image of varyingdensities according to the amount of radiation reaching each area. It is known as a radio graph, as distinct from a photograph produced by light. Because film is cumulative in its response (the exposureincreasing as it absorbs more radiation), relatively weak radiation can be detected by prolonging the exposure until the film can record an image that will be visible after development

Before commencing a radiographic examination, it is always advisable to examine the component with one's own eyes, to eliminate any possible external defects. If the surface of a weld is too irregular, it may be desirable to grind it to obtain a smooth finish, but this is likely to be limited to those cases in which the surface irregularities (which will be visible on the radiograph) may make detecting internaldefects difficult.

Fig. 23 Radiography testing

5.1.1 Dye Penetrate Testing

Dye penetrate inspection (DPI), also called liquid penetrate inspection (LPI) or penetrate testing (PT), is a widely applied and low-cost inspection method used to locate surfacebreaking defects inall non-porous materials (metals, plastics, or ceramics). The penetrate may be applied to all non- ferrous materials and ferrous materials, although for ferrous components magnetic- particle inspection is often used instead for its sub surface detection capability. LPI is used to detect casting, forging and welding surface defects such as hairline cracks, surface porosity, leaks in new products,and fatigue cracks on in-service components.

Procedure for DP test

Step 1: Pre-cleaning

Firstly, the material is been cleaned with the cloth due to the presence of dust particles.

Step 2: Application of Penetrate

Penetrate is then applied on the material where the inspection is to be done and kept for sometime so that the penetrate moves inside the crack.

Step 3: Excess Penetrate Removal

After some period of time the penetrate is been removed.

Step 4: Application of Developer

After removing the penetrate the developer is applied on the material where the penetrate is hasapplied on the stare a the developer removes the penetrate from the crack.

Step 5: Inspection

After sometime of applied developer the material is been taken under the white light to see thecrack on the material as the developer take out the penetrate out of the crack.

Step 6: Post Cleaning

As the inspection gets over the material is then cleaned with clean cloth and can be used for itsrespective work.

Fig. 24 Dye penetrate testing

5.2 Hydrostatic test IR@AIKTC-KRRC

A hydrostatic test is a way in which pressure vessels such as pipelines, plumbing, gas cylinders, boilers andfuel tanks can be tested for strength and leaks. The test involves filling the vessel or pipe system with a liquid, usually water, which may be dyed to aid in visual leak detection, and pressurization of the vessel to the specified test pressure. Pressure tightness can be tested by shutting off the supply valve and observing whether there is a pressure loss. The location of a leak can be visually identified more easily if the water contains a colorant. Strength is usually tested by measuring permanent deformation of the container. Hydrostatic testing is the most common method employed for testing pipes and pressure vessels. Using thistest helps maintain safety standards and durability of a vessel over time. Newly manufactured pieces are initially qualified using the hydrostatic test. They are then re-qualified at regular intervals using the proof pressure test which is also called the modified hydrostatic test. Testing of pressure vessels for transport andstorage of gases is very important because such containers can explode if they fail under pressure.

Hydrostatic tests are conducted under the constraints of either the industry's or the customer's specifications, or may be required by law. The vessel is filled with a nearly incompressible liquidusually water or oil pressurized to test pressure, and examined for leaks or permanent changes in shape. Red or fluorescent dyesmay be added to the water to make leaks easier to see. The test pressure is always considerably higher thanthe operating pressure to give a factor of safety. This factor of safety is typically 166.66%, 143% or 150% of the designed working pressure, depending on the regulations that apply.

6.1 RESULT

6.2 CONCLUSION

- We will be able to understand components of General Arrangement of AES heat exchangerand its applications.
- Designed the components of industrial AES Heat Exchanger by analytical method in reference with A.S.M.E and T.E.M.A
- Validated the design using software PV-Elite version 2019.
- Compared of analytical and software calculation.
- Modelled the vessel in PV-Elite software.

CHAPTER 07 COST ESTIMATION

BAI - INDIA

MAVI MUM

7.1 COST ESTIMATION IR@AIKTC-KRRC

CHAPTER 08 REFERENCES

NAVI MUMBAI - INDIA

8.1References

8.1.1 Books

- 1. Working with Heat Exchanger by J. P. Gupta.
- 2. Pressure Vessel Design Lubrication and Test by Esmael Kaynejad.
- 3. ASME boiler and pressure vessel code by The American Society Of Mechanical Engineers (ASME).
- 4. Standards of TEMA by TEMA.IN
- 5. Pressure Vessel Design Manual by Dennis Moss

8.1.2 Links

- 1. https://issuu.com/ijteee/docs/vibration-analysis-of-aes-type-shel
- 2. https:/[/www.ijert.org/research/design-fabrication-and-testing-of-shell-and-](http://www.ijert.org/research/design-fabrication-and-testing-of-shell-and-) tube-heatexchanger-for-heat-recovery-from-hydraulic-oil- IJERTV6IS070289.pdf
- 3. https:/[/www.researchgate.net/publication/305115678_Thermal_design_and_a](http://www.researchgate.net/publication/305115678_Thermal_design_and_a) nalysis of shell_and_tube_heat_exchanger_for_various_mass_flow_rate_at different_fouling_conditions_in_Xchanger-HTRI_50
- 4. http://www.wermac.org/equipment/heatexchanger_part5.html
- 5. [http://www.oilngasseparator.info/oil-handling-surfacefacilities/heat-](http://www.oilngasseparator.info/oil-handling-surfacefacilities/heat-exchanger-types.html) [exchanger](http://www.oilngasseparator.info/oil-handling-surfacefacilities/heat-exchanger-types.html)[types.html#:~:text=An%20AES%20classification%20for%20a,designates%2](http://www.oilngasseparator.info/oil-handling-surfacefacilities/heat-exchanger-types.html) [0a%20one%2Dpass%20shell.](http://www.oilngasseparator.info/oil-handling-surfacefacilities/heat-exchanger-types.html)
- 6. [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/318765038_Design_Fabrication_an](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/318765038_Design_Fabrication_and_Testing_of_Shell_and_Tube_Heat_Exchanger_for_Heat_Recovery_from_Hydraulic_Oil) [d_Testing_of_Shell_and_Tube_Heat_Exchanger_for_Heat_Recovery_from_](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/318765038_Design_Fabrication_and_Testing_of_Shell_and_Tube_Heat_Exchanger_for_Heat_Recovery_from_Hydraulic_Oil) [Hydraulic_Oil](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/318765038_Design_Fabrication_and_Testing_of_Shell_and_Tube_Heat_Exchanger_for_Heat_Recovery_from_Hydraulic_Oil)
- 7. [https://media.neliti.com/media/publications/264793-mechanical-design-of-](https://media.neliti.com/media/publications/264793-mechanical-design-of-shell-and-tube-type-8f7a58e7.pdf) [shell-and](https://media.neliti.com/media/publications/264793-mechanical-design-of-shell-and-tube-type-8f7a58e7.pdf)[tube-type-8f7a58e7.pdf](https://media.neliti.com/media/publications/264793-mechanical-design-of-shell-and-tube-type-8f7a58e7.pdf)